



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,464	1,464 03/20/2001		Tsuneo Sato	FJ-2000-045-US	7958
21254	7590	04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
		ECTUAL PROPEI	HO, TUAN V		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA,	VA 22182	2-3817	2622		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/811,464	SATO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan V. Ho	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or epily within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Jt</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 16-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 6-15 is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 16-18 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	hdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	_						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) X Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al (US 5,737,491) in view of Kimoto et al (US 6,115,611).

With regard to claim 19, Allen et al discloses in Fig. 1 an electronic camera that comprises the a communication device (wireless transceiver 32, col. 2, lines 35-50), except that the communication device which transmits a request for an image to a server through an external communication device in a wireless communication network said external communication device determining a communication area in which the external communication device is located and sending information identifying said communication area to said server; and receives

information and the image from the server through said external communication device, said image being selected by said server based on said information identifying said communication area.

Allen et al does not explicitly disclose any communication device which transmits a request for an image to a server through an external communication device in a wireless communication network said external communication device determining a communication area in which the external communication device is located and sending information identifying said communication area to said server and receives information and the image from the server through said external communication device, said image being selected by said server based on said information identifying said communication area.

However, Kimoto et al teaches using a mobile communication system as shown in Figs. 7 and 13; where the mobile communication terminal 4 can determine the communication area and transmit the location area, and a request for image map of the location to information center 5 (col. 31, lines 48-67). After receiving the location and request from the mobile communication 4, center 5 processes an image map related to the location of mobile communication 4 and sends top mobile communication 4; where the map can be displayed on a LCD, col. 33, line 51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate mobile communication 4 of the Kimoto system in the camera system of Allen et al so as to obtain an electronic camera that can determine a location where the camera is located and send request a an image map of the location and display it. That is because the incorporation of the Kimoto system in the electronic camera of Allen et al would allow a user to communicate directly with a server and request the location without using any fixed stations to communicate to the server and thereby to improve the camera convenience and versatility.

With regard to claim 20, Furthermore, Allen et al disclose the display which displays said information and said image (viewfinder 16); information input device which selects and inputs a desired image from the displayed information (external input device 27, col. 2, line 64); and a recording medium which records the image (memory 22).

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 16, 17 and 18 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

  CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/811,464

Art Unit: 2622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN HO whose telephone number is (571) 272-7365. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7AM to 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (572) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

TUAN HO

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2622